

Billing Code 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 65

[Docket No.: FAA-2014-1000; Amdt. No. 65-56]

RIN 2120-AK40

Elimination of the Air Traffic Control Tower Operator Certificate for Controllers

Who Hold a Federal Aviation Administration Credential with a Tower Rating

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This rulemaking eliminates the requirement for an air traffic control tower operator to hold a control tower operator certificate if the individual also holds a Federal Aviation Administration Credential with a tower rating (FAA Credential). The requirement to hold both the control tower operator certificate and the FAA Credential is redundant since the underlying requirements for the FAA Credential encompass those of the control tower operator certificate. This action will reduce the FAA's burden of administering redundant programs for those individuals who hold an FAA Credential.

DATES: This rule is effective [INSERT DATE 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF <u>PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER</u>]. Send comments on or before [INSERT DATE 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: Send comments identified by docket number FAA-2014-1000 using any of the following methods:

1

- <u>Federal eRulemaking Portal</u>: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- <u>Mail</u>: Send comments to Docket Operations, M-30; U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Room W12-140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590-0001.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12-140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
- <u>Fax</u>: Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202-493-2251.

<u>Privacy</u>: In accordance with 5 USC 553(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its rulemaking process. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL-14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at www.dot.gov/privacy.

Docket: Background documents or comments received may be read at http://www.regulations.gov at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or Docket Operations in Room W12-140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For technical questions concerning this action, contact Michele Cappelle, Air Traffic Safety Oversight Service, Federal

Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-5205; e-mail michele.cappelle@faa.gov.

For legal questions concerning this action, contact Neal O'Hara, Attorney, Office of the Chief Counsel, Regulations Division, AGC-240, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-3073; email neal.o'hara@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Executive Summary

On January 18, 2011, the President signed Executive Order 13563, Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review. Among other things, Section 6 of that Executive Order directs agencies to conduct a retrospective analysis of existing rules. Specifically, Executive Order 13563 provides that "[t]o facilitate the periodic review of existing significant regulations, agencies shall consider how best to promote retrospective analysis of rules that may be outmoded, ineffective, insufficient, or excessively burdensome, and to modify, streamline, expand, or repeal them in accordance with what has been learned."

Consistent with Executive Order 13563, the FAA routinely evaluates existing regulations and other requirements. The FAA works to identify unnecessary, duplicative, or ineffective regulations and to mitigate the impacts of those regulations, where possible, without compromising safety.

As part of the FAA's continuing obligation to review its regulations, the agency has reviewed the requirement in Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 65 that FAA air traffic control tower operators hold a CTO certificate. The FAA has determined that the requirement for FAA air traffic control tower operators to hold the

CTO certificate is redundant and unnecessary. These individuals are also required by FAA Order 8000.90, *Air Traffic Safety Oversight Credentialing and Control Tower Operator Certification Programs*, to hold an FAA Credential, and the underlying requirements for the FAA Credential encompass those of the CTO certificate. Therefore, consistent with the requirements of Executive Order 13563, and as discussed later, persons who hold an FAA Credential for the performance of their duties will no longer be required to hold a CTO certificate.

The purpose of both the FAA Credentialing and the CTO programs is to establish that air traffic controllers possess the requisite skills to do their jobs safely. While the FAA Credential is comparable to a CTO certificate, they are two different programs requiring separate administrative activities. After many years of overseeing both programs, the FAA has determined there is no appreciable value in imposing both programs on the same population. Therefore, the FAA is amending 14 CFR part 65 to permit a person to act as an air traffic control tower operator if that person holds either an FAA Credential or a CTO certificate. FAA tower controllers must hold an FAA Credential. This amendment eliminates the need for an air traffic control tower operator to hold both an FAA Credential and a CTO certificate.

The FAA notes that the CTO program will remain intact for those individuals who are not required to hold an FAA Credential (e.g., controllers at non- Federal contract towers). In addition, FAA air traffic control tower operators who currently possess CTO certificates will be permitted to retain them.

The FAA estimates there will be minimal cost savings to the FAA of about \$189,600 because of this rule change.

Good Cause for Immediate Adoption

Section 553(b)(3)(B) of Title 5 of the United States Code (5 U.S.C.), authorizes agencies to dispense with notice and comment procedures for rules when the agency finds "good cause" that those procedures are "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." Under this section, an agency, upon finding good cause, may issue a final rule without seeking comment prior to the rulemaking.

The FAA finds that prior notice and public comment on this final rule are unnecessary. This final rule eliminates the requirement for an air traffic control tower operator (CTO) to hold a CTO certificate if the individual also holds an FAA Credential with a tower rating (FAA Credential). The requirement to hold both the CTO certificate and the FAA Credential is redundant, since the underlying requirements for the FAA Credential encompass those of the CTO certificate, and there will not be an adverse safety impact. Therefore, the FAA has determined that prior notice and public comment are unnecessary.

Comments Invited

The Regulatory Policies and Procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 1134; February 26, 1979), provide that to the maximum extent possible, operating administrations for the DOT should provide an opportunity for public comment on regulations issued without prior notice. The FAA is adopting this final rule without prior notice, but with public comment, because this rule removes a redundant requirement and promotes program efficiencies.

Authority for this Rulemaking

The FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator, specifically Sections 106(f) and (g). Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority.

This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart iii, Section 44701, General Requirements; Section 44702, Issuance of Certificates; and, Section 44703, Airman Certificates. Under Section 44701, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations and minimum standards for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. Section 44702 provides the authority for the Administrator to issue certificates, including airman certificates. Section 44703 describes the conditions that must be met for the Administrator to issue an airman certificate. This rule is within the scope of that authority.

I. Background

A. Statement of the Problem

According to § 65.31, a person must hold a CTO certificate issued under subpart B of part 65 to act as an air traffic control tower operator. When the rule was first promulgated in 1962, all FAA controllers worked in tower facilities. However, as the air traffic control system evolved, other types of facilities, namely En Route and Terminal Radar Approach Control (TRACON) facilities, came into existence. The rule, however, continued to require only air traffic control tower operators to hold a CTO certificate.

The FAA's Air Traffic Safety Oversight Service (AOV) issues the FAA

Credential to FAA air traffic controllers under its authority in FAA Order 1100.161

Change 1, Air Traffic Safety Oversight. FAA Order 8000.90, Air Traffic Safety Oversight

Credentialing and Control Tower Operator Certification Programs, explains how each
of these programs are administered.

The FAA Credentialing program is broader than the CTO program. The FAA Credentialing program requires all FAA air traffic controllers, including those working in tower, En Route and TRACON facilities, to hold an FAA Credential with appropriate ratings. FAA control tower operators, therefore, hold a CTO certificate under § 65.31 as well as an FAA Credential. The requirement to hold both the CTO certificate and the FAA Credential is redundant, since the underlying requirements for the FAA Credential encompass those of the CTO certificate. There will not be an adverse impact to safety as the result of this change to part 65.

The purpose of both the FAA Credentialing and the CTO programs is to establish that air traffic controllers possess the requisite skills to do their jobs safely. While the FAA Credential is comparable to a CTO certificate, they are two different programs requiring separate administrative activities. After many years of overseeing both programs, the FAA has determined there is no appreciable value in imposing both programs on the same population (FAA control tower operators). After the effective date of this rule, the FAA will no longer issue CTO certificates to FAA air traffic control tower operators who are required to hold an FAA Credential for the performance of their duties. As noted previously, FAA air traffic control tower operators who currently possess CTO certificates will be permitted to retain them.

B. CTO Program

On August 10, 1962, the FAA published a final rule that added Subchapter D "Airmen" to Chapter I of Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations (27 FR 7954). The amendment was part of the FAA's program to recodify its regulatory material into the Federal Aviation Regulations, which replaced the Civil Air Regulations and Regulations of the Administration. This rule first established the requirements for the CTO certificate in part 65. The purpose of the CTO program is to ensure that air traffic controllers possess the requisite qualifications and skills to do their jobs safely.

The FAA established AOV in 2005 to provide independent oversight of air traffic services. As part of its responsibilities, AOV manages the CTO program and establishes policy and guidance for the program. The FAA's Civil Aviation Registry is the official custodian of airmen and aircraft records. The Registry is the office responsible for the day-to-day administration activities including the review, recordation, and the issuance of CTO certificates and AOV Credentials. The CTO information that is currently in the Civil Aviation Registry database will remain in the database. The Civil Aviation Registry will continue to maintain CTO information and will continue to receive and process CTO applications for individuals who meet the requirements of 14 CFR part 65.

The FAA's Civil Aviation Registry will continue to receive inquiries concerning FAA air traffic controllers. Any inquiries related to policy and guidance concerning the CTO program and the Credentialing program will be directed to AOV, as appropriate.

C. FAA Credentialing Program

In addition to managing the CTO program, AOV manages the FAA Credentialing program for air traffic controllers. Like the CTO program, the purpose of the Credentialing program is to establish that air traffic controllers possess the requisite qualifications and skills to do their jobs safely. The Credentialing program encompasses the underlying requirements of the CTO program. Unlike the CTO program, which is only applicable to air traffic control tower operators, the FAA Credentialing program is broader and applies to all air traffic controllers, including those who work in En Route and TRACON facilities.

AOV is the office responsible for tracking and maintaining the system that houses FAA Credential information. This system does not contain any personally identifiable information, such as Social Security numbers, home addresses, or dates of birth.

II. Discussion of Adopted Final Rule

A. Removal of Redundant Program Requirements (§§ 65.11 and 65.31)

The FAA is revising §§ 65.11 and 65.31 to recognize the FAA Credential and permits a person to act as an air traffic control tower operator if that person holds either an FAA credential or a CTO certificate. FAA tower controllers must hold an FAA credential. Any person who is required to hold an FAA Credential for the performance of his or her duties will no longer be required to also hold a CTO certificate. It also relieves the FAA from subjecting a select group of air traffic controllers to two separate programs.

To conform to the changes in this rule, the FAA is updating its internal procedures for managing the FAA Credentialing and CTO programs (FAA Order

8000.90). The revision to FAA Order 8000.90 will be effective at the same time as this rule.

B. Removal of outdated language (§ 65.43)

The FAA is also removing outdated language regarding junior and senior ratings. On August 1, 1970, the FAA published a final rule that reorganized the requirements in subpart B of part 65 and established a facility rating (35 FR 12326). The FAA intended to remove the junior and senior ratings because they were unnecessary, but inadvertently did not remove the regulatory text. This rule corrects that error, and the FAA is now removing the unnecessary requirement.

III. Regulatory Notices and Analyses

A. Regulatory Evaluation

Changes to Federal regulations must undergo several economic analyses. First,

Executive Order 12866 and Executive Order 13563 direct that each Federal agency shall
propose or adopt a regulation only upon a reasoned determination that the benefits of the
intended regulation justify its costs. Second, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980
(Public Law 96-354) requires agencies to analyze the economic impact of regulatory
changes on small entities. Third, the Trade Agreements Act (Public Law 96-39) prohibits
agencies from setting standards that create unnecessary obstacles to the foreign
commerce of the United States. In developing U.S. standards, this Trade Act requires
agencies to consider international standards and, where appropriate, that they be the basis
of U.S. standards. Fourth, the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 1044) requires agencies to prepare a written assessment of the costs, benefits, and other

effects of proposed or final rules that include a Federal mandate likely to result in the expenditure by State, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more annually (adjusted for inflation with base year of 1995). This portion of the preamble summarizes the FAA's analysis of the economic impacts of this final rule. We suggest readers seeking greater detail read the full regulatory evaluation, a copy of which we have placed in the docket for this rulemaking.

In conducting these analyses, FAA has determined that this final rule: (1) has benefits that justify its costs, (2) is not an economically "significant regulatory action" as defined in section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, (3) is not "significant" as defined in DOT's Regulatory Policies and Procedures; (4) will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities; (5) will not create unnecessary obstacles to the foreign commerce of the United States; and (6) will not impose an unfunded mandate on state, local, or tribal governments, or on the private sector by exceeding the threshold identified above. These analyses are summarized below.

Who is Potentially Affected by this Rule?

Persons who hold an FAA Credential for the performance of their duties are potentially affected by this rule. After the effective date of this rule, such persons will no longer be required to hold a CTO certificate.

Assumptions:

- All costs are presented in 2014 dollars.
- The FAA estimates about \$35 to process each CTO certificate.

- The number of CTO certificates issued in 2011 and 2012 totaled 1,070 and 1,048, respectively. The average of both years is 1,059.
- The FAA estimates 2 hours for the Air Traffic Supervisor/Manager to fill out the CTO form.
- The FAA estimates 15 minutes for a Legal Instruments Examiner to enter the
 CTO form information into the AFS database.
- The hourly rate for an Air Traffic Supervisor/Manager is \$68.11.
- The hourly rate for a Legal Instruments Examiner is \$31.29.

Total Benefits and Costs of this Rule

This final rule responds to Executive Order 13563 by reducing the FAA's burden of administering redundant programs, the FAA Credentialing program and the CTO program.

A CTO certificate costs the same amount as an FAA Airmen Certificate to produce. The FAA estimates that this cost range is between \$20 and \$50 per Airmen Certificate. The FAA used the midpoint of that range, \$35, as an estimate of cost to produce a CTO certificate.

Since this rulemaking eliminates the requirement for controllers holding an FAA Credential to also hold a CTO certificate, this amounts to an average cost savings of approximately \$189,600; ranging from \$173,700 - \$205,500 for a \$20 - \$50 per application processing cost, respectively.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Determination

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-354) (RFA) establishes "as a principle of regulatory issuance that agencies shall endeavor, consistent with the objectives of the rule and of applicable statutes, to fit regulatory and informational requirements to the scale of the businesses, organizations, and governmental jurisdictions subject to regulation. To achieve this principle, agencies are required to solicit and consider flexible regulatory proposals and to explain the rationale for their actions to assure that such proposals are given serious consideration." The RFA covers a widerange of small entities, including small businesses, not-for-profit organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions.

Agencies must perform a review to determine whether a rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. If the agency determines that it will, the agency must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis as described in the RFA.

However, if an agency determines that a rule is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, section 605(b) of the RFA provides that the head of the agency may so certify and a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required. The certification must include a statement providing the factual basis for this determination, and the reasoning should be clear.

The FAA has determined that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reason:

This rule reduces redundancy, which lowers FAA costs and has no effect outside of the FAA.

Therefore, as provided in section 605(b), the head of the FAA certifies that this rulemaking will not result in a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The FAA solicits comments regarding this determination.

C. International Trade Impact Assessment

The Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (Public Law 96-39), as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (Public Law 103-465), prohibits Federal agencies from establishing standards or engaging in related activities that create unnecessary obstacles to the foreign commerce of the United States. Pursuant to these Acts, the establishment of standards is not considered an unnecessary obstacle to the foreign commerce of the United States, so long as the standard has a legitimate domestic objective, such as the protection of safety, and does not operate in a manner that excludes imports that meet this objective. The statute also requires consideration of international standards and, where appropriate, that they be the basis for U.S. standards. The FAA has assessed the potential effect of this final rule and determined that it will have only a domestic impact and therefore will not create unnecessary obstacles to the foreign commerce of the United States.

D. Unfunded Mandates Assessment

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4) requires each Federal agency to prepare a written statement assessing the effects of any Federal mandate in a proposed or final agency rule that may result in an expenditure of \$100 million or more (in 1995 dollars) in any one year by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector; such a mandate is deemed to be a "significant

regulatory action." The FAA currently uses an inflation-adjusted value of \$151 million in lieu of \$100 million. This final rule does not contain such a mandate; therefore, the requirements of Title II of the Act do not apply.

E. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507(d)) requires that the FAA consider the impact of paperwork and other information collection burdens imposed on the public. The FAA has determined that there is no new requirement for information collection associated with this final rule.

F. International Compatibility and Cooperation

In keeping with U.S. obligations under the Convention on International Civil
Aviation, it is FAA policy to conform to International Civil Aviation Organization
(ICAO) Standards and Recommended Practices to the maximum extent practicable. The
FAA has reviewed the corresponding ICAO Standards and Recommended Practices and
has identified no new differences with these regulations.

G. Environmental Analysis

FAA Order 1050.1E, *Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures*, identifies FAA actions that are categorically excluded from preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement under the National Environmental Policy Act in the absence of extraordinary circumstances. The FAA has determined this rulemaking action qualifies for the categorical exclusion identified in paragraph 312f and involves no extraordinary circumstances.

IV. Executive Order Determinations

A. Executive Order 13132, Federalism

The FAA has analyzed this final rule under the principles and criteria of Executive Order 13132, Federalism. The agency determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, or the relationship between the Federal Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, and, therefore, does not have Federalism implications.

B. Executive Order 13211, Regulations that Significantly Affect Energy Supply,
Distribution, or Use

The FAA analyzed this final rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions

Concerning Regulations that Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

(May 18, 2001). The agency has determined that it is not a "significant energy action"

under the executive order and it is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy.

C. Executive Order 13609

Executive Order 13609, Promoting International Regulatory Cooperation, promotes international regulatory cooperation to meet shared challenges involving health, safety, labor, security, environmental, and other issues and to reduce, eliminate, or prevent unnecessary differences in regulatory requirements. The FAA has analyzed this action under the policies and agency responsibilities of Executive Order 13609, and has determined that this action would have no effect on international regulatory cooperation.

V. How to Obtain Additional Information

A. Comments Invited

The FAA invites interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments, data, or views. The agency also invites comments relating to the economic, environmental, energy, or federalism impacts that might result from adopting this rule. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the rule, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. To ensure the docket does not contain duplicate comments, commenters should send only one copy of written comments, or if comments are filed electronically, commenters should submit only one time.

The FAA will file in the docket all comments it receives, as well as a report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerning this rulemaking. The FAA will consider all comments it receives on or before the closing date for comments. The agency may change this rule in light of the comments it receives.

Commenters are encouraged to identify the provisions on which they are commenting based on the title of the provisions.

Proprietary or Confidential Business Information: Commenters should not file proprietary or confidential business information in the docket. Such information must be sent or delivered directly to the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document, and marked as proprietary or confidential. If submitting information on a disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM, and identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is proprietary or confidential.

Under 14 CFR 11.35(b), if the FAA is aware of proprietary information filed with a comment, the agency does not place it in the docket. It is held in a separate file to which

the public does not have access, and the FAA places a note in the docket that it has received it. If the FAA receives a request to examine or copy this information, it treats it as any other request under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). The FAA processes such a request under Department of Transportation procedures found in 49 CFR part 7.

B. Rulemaking Documents

An electronic copy of a rulemaking document my be obtained by using the Internet —

- 1. Search the Federal eRulemaking Portal (http://www.regulations.gov);
- Visit the FAA's Regulations and Policies Web page at http://www.faa.gov/regulations policies/ or
- Access the Government Printing Office's Web page at: http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/.

Copies may also be obtained by sending a request (identified by notice, amendment, or docket number of this rulemaking) to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Rulemaking, ARM-1, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267-9680.

C. Comments Submitted to the Docket

Comments received may be viewed by going to http://www.regulations.gov and following the online instructions to search the docket number for this action. Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of the FAA's dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.).

D. Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

The Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA) of 1996 requires FAA to comply with small entity requests for information or advice about compliance with statutes and regulations within its jurisdiction. A small entity with questions regarding this document, may contact its local FAA official, or the person listed under the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT heading at the beginning of the preamble. To find out more about SBREFA on the Internet, visit http://www.faa.gov/regulations-policies/rulemaking/sbre-act/.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 65

Air traffic controllers, Airmen, Aviation safety.

The Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends chapter I of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 65--OPERATING REQUIREMENTS: DOMESTIC, FLAG, AND SUPPLEMENTAL OPERATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 65 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g). 40113, 44701-44703, 44707, 44709-44711, 45102-45103, 45301-45302

2. Amend § 65.11 by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 65.11 Application and issue.

* * * * *

(b) Except for FAA Credential holders with tower ratings, an applicant who meets the requirements of this part is entitled to an appropriate certificate and rating.

* * * * *

3. Amend § 65.31 by revising the section heading, introductory text, and paragraphs (a) and (b) to read as follows:

§ 65.31 Required credentials, certificates, and ratings or qualifications.

No person may act as an air traffic control tower operator at an air traffic control tower in connection with civil aircraft unless he or she—

- (a) Holds an FAA Credential with a tower rating or an air traffic control tower operator certificate issued under this subpart;
- (b) Holds a facility rating for that control tower issued under this subpart, or has qualified for the operating position at which he or she acts and is under the supervision of the holder of a facility rating for that control tower; and

* * * * *

§ 65.43 [Removed and Reserved]

4. Remove and reserve § 65.43.

Issued under authority provided by 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 44701(a), and 44703 in Washington, DC, on November 14, 2014.

Michael P. Huerta, Administrator [FR Doc. 2014-29386 Filed 12/15/2014 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 12/16/2014]